	A	B	C	D
1	PA Comments from NPS			
2				
3	Number	Page of PA	Comment	Response
4	1	N/A	PA has not resolved OIBC concern about phasing of the AIS	The law allows for phasing of the AIS. FTA and the City believe that this approach would lead to the less disturbance of potential burial locations than conducting 100 percent surveys at this time.
5	2	Page 3	The adverse effects of some properties has not been documented.	FTA has determined that the Project will have adverse effects to 33 historic resources. Included in these 33 are adverse effect determinations recommended by the SHPO and accepted by FTA. The SHPO did not provide the basis for these determinations. Therefore, general effects to the resources are assumed as described in the table prepared as Attachment 2 to the PA.
6	3	Page 3	Makalapa Housing Districts	This issue was previously addressed with the Navy, SHPD, and other consulting parties during consultation . The APE was approved by SHPD on February 8, 2008. As discussed during consultation, the ICRMP is not a Section 106 document; it is a management tool for the Navy. The Navy can choose to manage the resources as a single system if desired. The reasoning for the separation of the two resources is that they are of different eras and served different purposes: enlisted vs. officers. They are also separated by a major public thouroughfare. The landscape area where the station touches down is not a contributing portion of the resource. It holds no specific historic value.
7	4	Page 1	Navy as signatory	The Navy did particpate in the consultation process and has been added at their request to reflect their role as a land owner responsible for federal lands affected by the Project. Their role has been added to the PA.
8	5	Page 7	Kako`o independence	The role of the Kako`o with regard to independence is clarified in the PA.

	A	B	C	D
9		6	Attachment 1	APE maps	The APE maps attached to the PA have been revised. At the request of the consulting parties, the parcel pane maps have been re-sequenced and renumbered